

BatchBuddy.AI — PLM-ERP Platform for CPG Manufacturers

SOC 2 Readiness Control Matrix

Trust Services Criteria Mapping & Audit Retention Policy

Version 1.0 | March 2026

Executive Summary

This document maps BatchBuddy.AI platform controls to the AICPA Trust Services Criteria (TSC) used in SOC 2 Type II examinations. This is a readiness document demonstrating that controls are implemented and prepared for future independent audit. It covers the five trust service categories: Security (CC), Availability (A), Processing Integrity (PI), Confidentiality (C), and Privacy (P). Each control is mapped to its corresponding TSC criteria with implementation status and evidence references.

1. Security (Common Criteria)

1.1 Logical Access & Authentication

| TSC Criteria | Control | Implementation | Status |
|--------------|---------------------------|--|---------------|
| CC6.1 | Role-Based Access Control | Flask-Login with admin/formulator/team roles; permission-based feature gating | ✓ Implemented |
| CC6.1 | API Key Authentication | SHA-256 hashed at rest; bb_ prefix validation; hash-only lookup (no plaintext fallback) | ✓ Implemented |
| CC6.1 | Session Management | Server-side sessions with automatic rotation; secure cookie flags; CSRF tokens on all forms | ✓ Implemented |
| CC6.2 | Multi-Factor Auth (MFA) | Enhanced admin login security; password re-auth for high-stakes AI actions | ✓ Implemented |
| CC6.3 | Least Privilege | Team permissions (view_only, can_edit, full_access); owner-scoped data isolation | ✓ Implemented |
| CC6.6 | Rate Limiting | 60 req/min per API key; configurable backend (RATELIMIT_STORAGE_URI); custom rate limiter for auth endpoints | ✓ Implemented |

1.2 Data Protection

| TSC Criteria | Control | Implementation | Status |
|--------------|-----------------------|--|---------------|
| CC6.7 | Encryption at Rest | PostgreSQL with TLS; Fernet encryption for sensitive fields; API keys SHA-256 hashed | ✓ Implemented |
| CC6.7 | Encryption in Transit | TLS 1.2+ enforced; ProxyFix for HTTPS; secure cookie flags | ✓ Implemented |
| CC6.1 | Credential Management | No plaintext credentials in logs; API key prefix-only display; secrets via environment variables | ✓ Implemented |
| CC6.8 | Input Validation | WTForms server-side validation; XSS-hardened chat widget (_esc() sanitizer); SQL injection prevention via SQLAlchemy ORM | ✓ Implemented |

1.3 Monitoring & Incident Response

| TSC Criteria | Control | Implementation | Status |
|--------------|---------------------------|--|---------------|
| CC7.1 | Audit Trail | FDA 21 CFR Part 11 compliant; before/after snapshots; IP address + user agent; immutable append-only audit_trail table | ✓ Implemented |
| CC7.2 | Security Regression Suite | 37+ automated security tests + 13 XSS tests; CI-enforced validation gates; XSS lint guard for chat widget | ✓ Implemented |
| CC7.2 | E-Signature Integrity | HMAC-SHA256 with fail-closed atomic pre-staging; all 14 AI write actions abort on e-sig failure (500 response) | ✓ Implemented |
| CC7.3 | Health Monitoring | Admin endpoint for real-time service health checks; structured logging; error tracking with monitoring system | ✓ Implemented |

1.4 Change Management

| TSC Criteria | Control | Implementation | Status |
|--------------|----------------------|---|---------------|
| CC8.1 | Version Control | Git-based version control; code review process; automated checkpointing | ✓ Implemented |
| CC8.1 | Database Migrations | Alembic-managed schema migrations; idempotent startup migrations; transaction-safe with rollback on failure | ✓ Implemented |
| CC8.1 | Idempotency Controls | API write idempotency keys bound to (user_id, endpoint_path); prevents cross-endpoint replay attacks | ✓ Implemented |

2. Availability

| TSC Criteria | Control | Implementation | Status |
|--------------|---------------------|---|---------------|
| A1.1 | Infrastructure | Cloud hosting with automatic scaling; PostgreSQL managed database; connection pooling with pool_pre_ping | ✓ Implemented |
| A1.2 | Backup & Recovery | PostgreSQL automated backups; soft deletion pattern for data recovery; checkpoint-based codebase recovery | ✓ Implemented |
| A1.2 | PWA Offline Support | Service worker with versioned caching for offline capabilities | ✓ Implemented |

3. Processing Integrity

| TSC Criteria | Control | Implementation | Status |
|--------------|---------------------|---|---------------|
| PI1.1 | Financial Precision | All monetary values use Numeric(12,2); Decimal(str(value)) conversion pattern; database CHECK constraints | ✓ Implemented |

| TSC Criteria | Control | Implementation | Status |
|--------------|---------------------------|---|---------------|
| PI1.2 | Data Integrity Validation | Database safety system with constraint validation; FIFO inventory tracking; ALCOA data integrity principles | ✓ Implemented |
| PI1.3 | Atomic Operations | E-signatures atomically pre-staged before write handlers; fail-closed guarantee (no write without signature) | ✓ Implemented |
| PI1.4 | Webhook Audit Trail | Webhook create/delete operations logged to FDA-compliant audit trail; API-key actor resolution for non-session requests | ✓ Implemented |

4. Confidentiality

| TSC Criteria | Control | Implementation | Status |
|--------------|----------------------------|---|---------------|
| C1.1 | Data Classification | Owner-scoped data isolation; team-aware permissions; effective_owner_id pattern across all data endpoints | ✓ Implemented |
| C1.2 | Credential Protection | No API keys, tokens, or headers logged; SHA-256 hashed API keys at rest; Fernet encryption for sensitive fields | ✓ Implemented |
| C1.2 | Server-Side Data Redaction | Team members see redacted data based on permission level; server-side enforcement (not client-side) | ✓ Implemented |

5. Privacy

| TSC Criteria | Control | Implementation | Status |
|--------------|-------------------|--|---------------|
| P1.1 | Privacy Policy | GDPR/CCPA compliant privacy policy; mandatory TOS acceptance at registration | ✓ Implemented |
| P6.1 | Data Retention | Soft deletion pattern preserves audit trail while honoring deletion requests; see Audit Retention Policy below | ✓ Implemented |
| P6.7 | Analytics Privacy | Privacy-friendly aggregation for button click analytics; no PII in analytics data | ✓ Implemented |

6. Audit Log Retention & Immutability Policy

6.1 Retention Requirements

All audit trail records are retained in accordance with regulatory and contractual obligations:

| Record Type | Min. Retention | Regulatory Basis | Implementation |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|
| FDA Audit Trail | 6 years | 21 CFR Part 11, Part 111 | PostgreSQL audit_trail table; append-only writes; no UPDATE/DELETE on audit rows |
| E-Signature Records | 6 years | 21 CFR Part 11 §11.50 | AiActionSignature table with HMAC-SHA256 integrity hash; bound to audit entries |
| API Access Logs | 1 year | SOC 2 CC7.1 | Structured logging with user_id, IP, user_agent; no credential data logged |
| Login Attempts | 90 days | SOC 2 CC6.1 | LoginAttempt table tracks success/failure with IP and timestamp |
| Data Change History | 6 years | FDA cGMP (21 CFR 211) | Before/after snapshots in audit_trail.record_data_before/after columns |

6.2 Immutability Controls

Audit log immutability is enforced through the following technical controls:

| Control | Description | Enforcement |
|---------------------|--|---|
| Append-Only Design | Audit trail records are INSERT-only; no application code performs UPDATE or DELETE on audit rows | Application-layer enforcement; SQLAlchemy session flush pattern |
| Atomic Commit | Audit entries are added to the same database transaction as the business operation; they commit or rollback together | db.session.add() + flush() in log_compliance_action() |
| E-Signature Binding | Each e-signature includes an HMAC-SHA256 hash of the action payload, making post-hoc tampering detectable | _presage_esignature() with compute_esignature_hash() |
| Soft Deletion | User data uses soft deletion (is_deleted flag); audit trail entries are never soft-deleted | Application-layer enforcement in all delete endpoints |

6.3 Evidence & Examination

BatchBuddy.AI maintains the following evidence artifacts in preparation for SOC 2 Type II examination:

| Artifact | Description | Location |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Security Regression Suite | 37+ automated tests covering CSRF, owner-scoping, credential logging, e-signature integrity, API key hashing, webhook audit, and idempotency | tests/test_security_regression.py |
| XSS Hardening Suite | 13 automated tests for chat widget XSS prevention with payload fixtures | tests/test_xss_hardening.py |
| XSS Lint Guard | Shell script enforcing innerHTML safety patterns in chat widget code | scripts/lint_xss_guard.sh |
| FDA Part 11 Whitepaper | Detailed mapping of platform features to Part 11 requirements | /trust/download/fda-part11.pdf |
| ISO 27001 Readiness | ISMS posture and Annex A control mapping with certification timeline | /trust/download/iso27001.pdf |

Contact

Security reviews & SOC 2 evidence requests: compliance@batchbuddy.ai

Security vulnerabilities: security@batchbuddy.ai

batchbuddy.ai/trust · batchbuddy.ai/register?plan=enterprise